

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 03333 121913Z

71

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03 NEA-10

NSAE-00 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 USIA-12 TRSE-00 MBFR-03

SAJ-01 SS-15 NSC-10 ACDA-19 OMB-01 IO-13 OIC-04 RSR-01

/140 W

----- 067197

R 121738Z JUL 73

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 805

SECDEF

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 3124

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

S E C R E T USNATO 3333

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJ: MBFR: BELGIAN DRAFT ON ALLIED CONSULTATIONS AND
PROCEDURE FOR MBFR NEGOTIATIONS

FOLLOWING IS INFORMAL MISSION TRANSLATION OF BELGIAN DRAFT
PAPER GIVEN TO USNATO OFFICERS ON JULY 12: BEGIN TEXT:

BELGIAN DELEGATION TO NATO

WORKING DOCUMENT ON ALLIED CONSULTATION AND
PROCEDURE FOR MBFR NEGOTIATIONS

1. DIRECTIVES FOR THE MBFR NEGOTIATION SHOULD BE ESTABLISHED
IN THE LIGHT OF THE EXPERIENCE GAINED DURING THE VIENNA EXPLORATORY
PHASE. EXPERIENCE THERE SHOWS THAT RESPECTIVE JURISDICTIONS
HAVE NOT BEEN CLARIFIED SUFFICIENTLY AND THAT SOME CONFUSION
RESULTED IN RELATIONS BETWEEN THE COUNCIL AND THE AD HOC
GROUP. CLARIFICATION IS THEREFORE NEEDED. ABOVE ALL, WE MUST
SPECIFY WHICH IS THE SUPERVISORY BODY AND DEFINE THE RESPON-
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 03333 121913Z

SIBILITIES OF THE ACTION GROUP ON THE SPOT.

2. GIVEN THAT MBFR INVOLVES THE INTEGRATED FORCES OF THE
ALLIANCE, THE COUNCIL SHOULD BE THE FORUM BOTH FOR PRESENTATION

OF GOVERNMENTAL INSTRUCTIONS DETERMINING GENERAL NEGOTIATING POLICY AND ENSURING THAT PARTICULAR STEPS IN THE NEGOTIATION CONFORM TO THIS GENERAL POLICY. THE COUNCIL IS THUS THE PERMANENT SUPERVISORY POLICY BODY FOR THE ALLIANCE IN MBFR AND THIS SHOULD BE CLEARLY ACCEPTED AT THE OUTSET.

3. HOWEVER, WE ALSO NEED TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FLEXIBILITY IN THE NEGOTIATIONS SO AS NOT TO IMPEDE PROGRESS. STRATEGY IS THE PROVINCE OF THE COUNCIL, WHILE TACTICS ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE NEGOTIATORS ON THE SPOT--WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK SET BY THE COUNCIL AND REMAINING IN CLOSE TOUCH WITH THE COUNCIL THROUGHOUT. IN CASES OF DISAGREEMENT WITHIN THE AD HOC GROUP, ALLIED SOLIDARITY REQUIRES THAT EVERY ATTEMPT BE MADE TO FIND A COMPROMISE SOLUTION AND IN THE MEANTIME THAT NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE OTHER SIDE BE SUSPENDED. IF THE AD HOC GROUP CANNOT RESOLVE THE PROBLEM, IT SHOULD BE REFERRED TO THE COUNCIL. THE COUNCIL THUS IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ESTABLISHING THE GENERAL FRAMEWORK OF THE NEGOTIATIONS AND ENSURING THAT ITS DIRECTIVES ARE FOLLOWED, UTILIZING IN PARTICULAR THE WEEKLY AD HOC GROUP CHAIRMAN'S REPORTS FOR THIS PURPOSE.

4. FOR REASONS OF CONVENIENCE AND CONTINUITY, IT WOULD SEEM PREFERABLE FOR THE AD HOC GROUP TO BE CHAIRED BY THE SAME PERSON AT ALL TIMES, FOR EXAMPLE THE HEAD OF ONE OF THE DELEGATIONS, AS AGREED, OR THE LOCAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE SECRETARY GENERAL.

5. (A) IN PRINCIPLE, NEGOTIATION WITH THE EASTERN COUNTRIES SHOULD TAKE PLACE IN PLENARY MEETINGS. PLENARIES CONSTITUTE THE IDEAL FORUM WHERE ALL PARTICIPANTS CAN EXPRESS THEIR VIEWS. OTHER NEGOTATING METHODS (WORKING GROUPS OR EMISSARIES) CANNOT FULFILL THIS PARTICULAR FUNCTION.

(B) LESS FORMAL METHODS MIGHT BE FOUND MORE EFFICIENT IN CERTAIN CASES, AND IN THAT EVENT WORKING GROUPS MIGHT BE FORMED IN CONSONANCE WITH PROCEDURAL RULES WHICH HAVE ALREADY BEEN AGREED IN VIENNA. BUT IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT THESE WORK-
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 03333 121913Z

ING GROUPS BE OPEN-ENDED, WITH EACH PARTICIPATING COUNTRY ABLE TO ATTEND OR NOT ACCORDING TO THE IMPORTANCE IT ATTACHES TO THE QUESTION UNDER DISCUSSION. IT SHOULD HOWEVER BE THE EXCLUSIVE PREROGATIVE OF THE PLENARY MEETING TO ESTABLISH WORKING GROUPS AND THESE SHOULD BE RESPONSIBLE TO THE PLENARY.

(C) IF THE AD HOC GROUP UNANIMOUSLY DEEMS IT NECESSARY TO EMPLOY EMISSARIES TO DISCUSS A PARTICULAR ISSUE, THE AD HOC GROUP MUST DECIDE ON THE NUMBER AND IDENTITY OF THE EMISSARIES, DEFINE THEIR MANDATE PRECISELY AND OVERSEE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THAT MANDATE.

(D) IT WILL BE NECESSARY TO ADD TO THE PROCEDURAL RULES

WORKED OUT IN VIENNA CERTAIN AGREEMENTS REGARDING THE CON-
VOCATION OF PLENARY MEETINGS. IT MIGHT BE AGREED, FOR EX-
AMPLE, THAT THE CHAIRMAN FOR THE NEXT PLENARY WOULD CONVENE
A MEETING SHORTLY AFTER A REQUEST BY ANY FOUR PARTICIPANTS
THAT HE DO SO. END TEXT. MCAULIFFE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 02 APR 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 12 JUL 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973NATO03333
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730767/abqcebdw.tel
Line Count: 116
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: boyleja
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 13 AUG 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <13-Aug-2001 by kellerpr>; APPROVED <20-Sep-2001 by boyleja>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: BELGIAN DRAFT ON ALLIED CONSULTATIONS AND PROCEDURE FOR MBFR NEGOTIATIONS
TAGS: PARM, NATO
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005